safety procedures used for filling, operating, and discharging MATs to determine whether additional safety procedures should be implemented. To this end, we request that persons who use such transportation systems to provide us with information on the effectiveness of the current DOT regulations, consensus standards, and industry best practices. We are also interested in any other procedures utilized to ensure that operations related to the transportation of acetylene on MATs are performed safely.

We would also like to work with shippers, carriers, and facilities that receive shipments of acetylene in MATs to develop and implement a pilot program to test the effectiveness of current or alternative procedures or methods designed to enhance the safety of transportation operations involving acetylene on MATs. As part of this program, we will assist individual companies or facilities to evaluate the effectiveness of their current procedures and to identify additional measures that should be implemented. We welcome suggestions concerning how such a program should be structured and the entities that should participate.

To ensure that our message reaches all stakeholders affected by these risks, we plan to communicate this advisory through our public affairs notification and outreach processes. For additional visibility, we have made this advisory available on the PHMSA homepage at http://www.phmsa.dot.gov and the DOT electronic docket site at http://dms.dot.gov. In addition, if you are aware of other companies that are involved in the charging, operating, and discharging MATs, please share this advisory notice with them and, if possible, identify them in your correspondence with this agency. We believe a collaborative effort involving an integrated and cooperative approach will help us to address safety risks, reduce incidents, enhance safety, and protect the public.

Issued in Washington, DC on August 30, 2007.

Theodore L. Willke,
Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety.

[FR Doc. 07–4355 Filed 9–5–07; 8:45 am]
Copies of this report may be obtained by searching the NTSB Web site at www.ntsb.gov.

II. Advisory Bulletins Previously Issued by PHMSA

The NTSB made several recommendations to PHMSA and to trade organizations in its 1998 special investigation report. In response, PHMSA issued three advisory bulletins. The first advisory bulletin, ADB–99–01, Potential Failure Due to Brittle-Like Cracking of Certain Polyethylene Plastic Pipe Manufactured by Century Utility Products, Inc. was published in the Federal Register (FR) on March 11, 1999 (64 FR 12211) to advise natural gas pipeline distribution system operators that brittle-like cracking may occur on certain polyethylene pipe manufactured by Century Utility Products, Inc.

The second advisory bulletin, ADB–99–02, Potential Failures Due to Brittle-Like Cracking of Older Polyethylene Pipe in Natural Gas Distribution Systems, was also published in the Federal Register on March 11, 1999 (64 FR 12212) to advise natural gas pipeline distribution system operators of the potential for brittle-like cracking of plastic pipes installed between the 1960s and early 1980s.

The third advisory bulletin, ADB–02–07, Notification of the Susceptibility To Premature Brittle-Like Cracking of Older Plastic Pipe, was published in the Federal Register on November 26, 2002 (67 FR 70806) to reiterate to natural gas pipeline distribution system operators the susceptibility of older plastic pipe to premature brittle-like cracking. The older polyethylene pipe materials specifically identified in ADB–02–07 included, but were not limited to:

- Century Utility Products, Inc. products;
- Low-ductile inner wall “Aldyl A” piping manufactured by DuPont Company before 1973; and
- Polyethylene gas pipe designated PE 3306.

This third advisory bulletin also listed several environmental, installation and service conditions in which polyethylene piping is used that could lead to premature brittle-like cracking failure. PHMSA also described six recommended practices for polyethylene gas pipeline system operators to aid them with identifying and managing brittle-like cracking problems.

III. Plastic Pipe Studies

Beginning January 25, 2001, the American Gas Association (AGA) began to collect data on in-service plastic piping material failures with the objective of identifying trends in the performance of these materials. The resulting leak survey data, collected from 2001 to present, on the county’s natural gas distribution systems includes both actual failure information and negative reports (reports of no leads) submitted voluntarily by participating pipeline operating companies. The AGA, PHMSA, and other industry and state organizations continue to collect and analyze the data. Unfortunately, the data cannot be correlated with the quantities of each plastic pipe material that may be in service across the United States. Therefore, the data does not assess the failure rates of individual plastic pipe materials on a linear basis (i.e. per foot, per mile, etc.). However, the failure data reinforces what is historically known about certain older plastic piping and components. The data also indicates the susceptibility of additional specific materials to brittle-like cracking.

IV. Advisory Bulletin ADB–07–01

To: Owners and Operators of Natural Gas Pipeline Distribution Systems.

Subject: Updated Notification of the Susceptibility of Older Plastic Pipes to Premature Brittle-Like Cracking.

Advisory: All owners and operators of natural gas distribution systems who have installed and operate plastic piping are reminded of the phenomenon of brittle-like cracking. Brittle-like cracking refers to crack initiation in the pipe wall not immediately resulting in a full break followed by stable crack growth at stress levels much lower than the stress required for yielding. This results in very tight, slit-like, openings and gas leaks. Although significant cracking may occur at points of stress concentration and near improperly designed or installed fittings, small brittle-like cracks may be difficult to detect until a significant amount of gas leaks out of the pipe, and potentially migrates into an enclosed space such as a basement. Premature brittle-like cracking requires relatively high localized stress intensification that may result from geometrical discontinuities, excessive bending, improper installation of fittings, dents and/or gouges. Because this failure mode exhibits no evidence of gross yielding at the failure location, the term brittle-like cracking is used. This phenomenon is different from brittle fracture, in which the pipe failure causes fragmentation of the pipe.

All owners and operators of natural gas distribution systems are future advised to review the three earlier advisory bulletins on this issue. In addition to being available in the Federal Register, these advisory bulletins are published in the docket, and on PHMSA’s Web site at http://phmsa.dot.gov/ under Pipeline Safety Regulations.

In the first advisory bulletin, ADB–99–01, published on March 11, 1999 (64 FR 12211), PHMSA advises natural gas distribution system operators of the potential for poor resistance to brittle-like cracking of certain polyethylene pipe manufactured by Century Utility Products, Inc. In the second advisory bulletin, ADB–99–02, published on March 11, 1999 (64 FR 12212), PHMSA advises natural gas distribution system operators of the potential for brittle-like cracking of plastic pipes installed between the 1960s and early 1980s.

In the third advisory bulletin, ADB–02–07, published on November 26, 2002 (67 FR 70806), PHMSA reiterates to pipeline operators the susceptibility of some older plastic pipe to premature brittle-like cracking which could substantially reduce the service life of natural gas distribution systems and to explain the mission of the Plastic Pipe Database Committee (PPDC) “to develop and maintain a voluntary data collection process that supports the analysis of the frequency and causes of in-service plastic piping material failures.” The advisory bulletin also lists several environmental, installation and service conditions under which plastic piping is used which is used which could lead to premature brittle-like cracking failure. PHMSA also describes six recommended practices for polyethylene gas pipeline system operators to aid them with identifying and managing brittle-like cracking problems.

Lastly, the susceptibility of some polyethylene pipes to brittle-like cracking is dependent on the resin, pipe processing, and service conditions. As noted in ADB–02–07, these older polyethylene pipe materials include, but are not limited to:

- Century Utility Products, Inc. products;
- Low-ductile inner wall “Aldyl A” piping manufactured by DuPont Company before 1973; and
- Polyethylene gas pipe designated PE 3306.

The data now supports adding the following pipe materials to this list:

- Delrin insert tap tees; and,
- Plexco service tee Celcon (polyacetal) caps.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
[Docket No. PHMSA–2007–28993]

Pipeline Safety: Adequacy of Internal Corrosion Regulations for Hazardous Liquid Pipelines

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of availability of materials; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of materials, including a briefing paper prepared for PHMSA’s Technical Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Standards Committee (THLPSSC) and data on risks posed by internal corrosion on hazardous liquid pipelines. PHMSA is preparing a report to Congress on the adequacy of the internal corrosion regulations for hazardous liquid pipelines. Participants at a meeting of the THLPSSC discussed issues involved in examining the adequacy of the regulations and requested additional data. PHMSA requests public comment on these matters.

DATES: Submit comments by October 9, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Comments should reference Docket No. PHMSA–2007–28993 and may be submitted in the following ways:
- E-Gov Web site: http://www.regulations.gov. This Web site allows the public to enter comments on any Federal Register notice issued by any agency. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- Hand Delivery: DOT Docket Management System, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- Instructions: Identify the docket number, PHMSA–2007–28993, at the beginning of your comments. If you submit your comments by mail, submit two copies. To receive confirmation that PHMSA received your comments, include a self-addressed stamped postcard. Internet users may submit comments at http://www.regulations.gov.

Note: Comments are posted without changes or edits to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. There is a privacy statement published on http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Betsock at (202) 366–4361, or by e-mail at barbara.betsock@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Pipeline Inspection, Protection, Enforcement, and Safety Act of 2006 directs PHMSA to review the internal corrosion regulations in subpart H of 49 CFR part 195 to determine if they are adequate to ensure adequate protection of the public and environment and to report to Congress on the results of the review. As an initial step in the review, PHMSA consulted the THLPSSC at its meeting on July 24, 2007. The briefing paper prepared for the committee members contains preliminary data on risk history as well as questions relating to the internal corrosion regulations. This briefing paper is posted on PHMSA’s pipeline Web site (http://ops.dot.gov) and has been placed in the docket.

At the meeting, PHMSA officials committed to gathering additional data responding to questions posed by the committee members. PHMSA has updated the data and included data responsive to the committee members. This data is also posted on the pipeline Web site and contained in the docket.

PHMSA requests comments on the adequacy of the internal corrosion regulations and answers to the questions posed in the briefing paper. PHMSA will use these comments in its review of the internal corrosion regulations.


Issued in Washington, DC on August 27, 2007.

Jeffrey D. Wiese,
Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
[OMB Control No. 2900–0675]

Proposed Information Collection Activity: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Center for Veterans Enterprise, Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Center for Veterans Enterprise (CVE), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), is announcing an opportunity for public comment on the proposed collection of certain information by the agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Federal agencies are required to publish notice in the Federal Register concerning each proposed collection of information, including each proposed extension of a currently approved collection, and allow 60 days for public comment in response to the notice. This notice solicits comments for information needed to identify veteran-owned businesses.

DATES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed collection of information should be received on or before November 5, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the collection of information through http://www.Regulations.gov; or Gail Wegner (00VE), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420 or e-mail: gail.wegner@va.gov. Please refer to “OMB Control No. 2900–0675” in any correspondence. During the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at http://www.Regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gail Wegner at (202) 303–3296 or FAX (202) 254–0238.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3501–3521), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. This request for comment is being made pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA.

With respect to the following collection of information, CVE invites comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of CVE’s functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of CVE’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of